

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,237	02/27/2002	Bernhard Lamich	655.01072	7787	
7:	7590 12/31/2003			EXAMINER	
WOOD, PHILLIPS, VAN SANTEN, CLARK & MORTIMER			LEO, LEONARD R		
SUITE 3800 500 WEST MADISON STREET CHICAGO, IL 60661		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3753	10	
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>		('14)				
<i>3</i> —		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antion Comment		10/086,237	LAMICH, BERNHARD				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Leonard R. Leo	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	4 October 2003.	•				
·		nis action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2,6 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachmen	• •	_					
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/086,237

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of the invention of Group I in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged.

Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 8-9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Friedrich (Figures 2-3).

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lesniak or Yoshida.

Claims 1, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kato et al.

In the rejections above, the recitation of "cut" is read as an interface where two portions of an element are separated by any manufacturing step.

Application/Control Number: 10/086,237

Art Unit: 3753

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 and 6-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The references cited on the PTO-1449 filed on November 13, 2002 have been

considered to the extent of the drawings. No translation or explanation of relevance was

submitted.

Any inquiry of a general nature, relating to the status of this application or clerical nature

(i.e. missing or incomplete references, missing or incomplete Office actions or forms) should be

directed to the Technology Center 3700 Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-

5648. Status of the application may also be obtained from the Internet: http://pair.uspto.gov/cgi-

bin/final/home.pl

Any inquiry concerning this Office action should be directed to Leonard R. Leo whose

telephone number is (703) 308-2611.

LEONARD R. LEO

Femal & Lo

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3753

December 29, 2003

Page 3